

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

Applicants : William K. Slate II, et al.
Application No. : 09/991,223 Confirmation No. : 3940
Filed : November 21, 2001
For : ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR
DISPUTE MANAGEMENT
Group Art Unit : 3689
Examiner : Fonya M. Long

New York, New York 10036
February 4, 2010

MAIL STOP Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56 and 1.97, applicants hereby make the following documents of record in the above-identified patent application:

<u>U.S. Patent Documents</u>		
2002/0007283	01-17-2002	Anelli
2002/0147603	10-10-2002	Slate
2002/0147604	10-10-2002	Slate
2003/0014265	01-16-2003	Landry et al.
5,023,851	06-11-1991	Murray et al.
5,129,057	07-07-1992	Strope et al.

U.S. Patent Documents

5,182,705	01-26-1993	Barr et al.
5,794,207	08-11-1998	Walker et al.
6,260,024	07-10-2001	Shkedy
6,629,081	09-30-2003	Cornelius et al.
6,850,918	02-01-2005	Vaidyanathan et al.

Non-Patent Literature Document

EAGLESHAM et al., "Online Arbitration," [London Edition] Business Law, Financial Times, London, October 16, 2000, pg. 25

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2)(ii) no copy of the "U.S. Patent Documents" are provided. A copy of the non-patent literature document is enclosed.

It is respectfully requested that these documents be (1) fully considered by the Patent and Trademark Office during examination of this application; and (2) printed on any patent which may issue on this application. Applicants request that a copy of Form PTO-SB/08, as considered and initialed by the Examiner, be returned with the next communication.

The Examiner is advised that the following patent applications (which are also identified on the attached PTO/SB/08) contain subject matter that may be related to the pending claims in the present application. In particular, applicants would like to draw the Examiner's attention to the fact that substantive examination has occurred in these cases. Copies of Office Actions that have issued and Replies that have been filed in these cases are submitted herewith. Applicants invite the Examiner to review these documents or any future substantive documents that will issue or be filed

in any of these cases. By bringing these patents and patent applications to the Examiner's attention, applicants do not waive any applicable confidentiality provisions of 35 U.S.C. 122.

Patent Prosecution Documents

Office Actions and Replies for U.S. Application Serial No. 09/990,402 filed November 21, 2001

Office Actions and Replies for U.S. Application Serial No. 10/303,146 filed November 21, 2002

It is respectfully requested that all of the aforementioned documents be fully considered by the Patent and Trademark Office during examination of this application. Applicants reserve the right to establish the patentability of the claimed invention over any of the supplemental information provided herewith, and/or to prove that this supplemental information may not be prior art, and/or to prove that this supplemental information may not be enabling for the teachings purportedly offered.

This Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement is being submitted after the mailing date of the first Office Action, but before the mailing date of a final action under 37 C.F.R. § 1.113, a notice of allowance under 37 C.F.R. § 1.311, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application. The Director is hereby authorized to charge the \$180.00 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) to Deposit Account No. 06-1075.

An early and favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael J. Chasan/

Michael J. Chasan
Registration No. 54,026
Attorney for Applicants
ROPES & GRAY LLP
Customer No. 1473
1211 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
212-596-9000